

**Peter Baddiley**

**From:** Peter Baddiley  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 1 December 2010 9:44 AM  
**To:** 'Rob Drury'  
**Subject:** FW: Forecasting rainfall in Wivenhoe Dam catchment [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]  
**Attachments:** Response to Meeting with Chris Russell.doc  
**Security Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED

Rob

A small miracle - I found the Bureau's 2006 response/advice regarding forecasting rainfall for the Wivenhoe catchment.

As briefly discussed today, whilst weather prediction models are steadily improving, the forecast of rainfall amounts over catchment time/space scales is recognised as one of the most challenging/difficult tasks. Detailed rainfall forecasting is not deterministic - the uncertainties involved are often expressed in probabilistic forecasts, an example of which is at our website at: <http://www.bom.gov.au/jsp/watl/rainfall/pme.jsp> . Click on the "chance of rainfall" radio button.

regards, peter

**Peter Baddiley**  
Regional Hydrology Manager  
Climate & Water Division  
Bureau of Meteorology  
Level 21, 69 Ann Street  
GPO Box 413, BRISBANE, QLD, AUSTRALIA 4001  
Phone: [REDACTED]  
EMAIL: [REDACTED]  
WWW: [www.bom.gov.au](http://www.bom.gov.au)

**From:** Peter Baddiley  
**Sent:** Monday, 24 July 2006 4:59 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Mike Bergin  
**Subject:** Forecasting rainfall in Wivenhoe Dam catchment

Chris

As discussed with Mike on Friday, please find the attached. Apologies for the delay since our meeting of 6 July.

Please contact us if you require further information or clarification.

Regards, Peter

**Peter Baddiley**  
Supervising Engineer Hydrology & Flood Warning  
Bureau of Meteorology  
GPO Box 413  
BRISBANE QLD 4001  
AUSTRALIA  
Phone: [REDACTED]  
Fax : [REDACTED]

**QFCI**

Date:

13/4/11

JM

Exhibit Number:

36

EMAIL: [REDACTED]  
WWW : [www.bom.gov.au/hydro/flood/qld](http://www.bom.gov.au/hydro/flood/qld)

---

**In the matter of the *Commissions of Inquiry Act 1950***

***Commissions of Inquiry Order (No.1) 2011***

**Queensland Floods Commission of Inquiry**

**Witness Statement of Peter Baddiley**

**Annexure "PB-7"**

# **Rainfall Forecasting for the Wivenhoe Dam Catchment**

## **Background**

1. On 6 July, Chris Russell, of Connell Wagner, met with Mike Bergin and Peter Baddiley seeking advice regarding the predictability of significant rain events over the Wivenhoe Dam catchment. Connell Wagner has been engaged by SEQWCo to provide advice on the feasibility of maintaining the water level in the Wivenhoe storage at one metre above Full Supply Level. As a part of the dam operations under that scenario, it would be required that the additional storage above FSL be released ahead of a major inflow into Wivenhoe Dam. This would require some 24 to 48 hour advance prediction of catchment average rainfalls in the order of 300mm in 24 hours; 375mm in 36 hours and/or 430mm in 48 hours.
2. Wivenhoe Dam catchment is located to the north-west of Brisbane and has an area of about 7,000 square kilometres. For meteorological forecasting, the catchment is broadly about 100 km in the north-south direction, and 70 kilometres wide (east-west); bounded in the west by the Dividing Range with its eastern boundary varying from about 40 to 80 kilometres inland from the coast. The distribution of rainfall over the catchment is significantly influenced by the topography in major events.

## **Discussion**

3. As discussed at the meeting, the experience of Meteorologists and Hydrologists in the Brisbane office of the Bureau is that the short to medium term (0 to 48 hour) prediction of rainfall for the purpose of objective use in flood forecasting models is a difficult task. Quantitative Precipitation Forecasts (QPF) are available from the Australian and international Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) models and have been used subjectively in the Brisbane office for many years. Whilst the NWP models have shown improvement in the accuracy of QPF over the past decade or so, there is still at times considerable error or uncertainty, in the prediction of the location, amount and timing of rainfall events at the catchment scale.
4. The improved skill of NWP models in recent years has particularly been in forecasting the development and movement of broad-scale synoptic features that would be likely to produce the threshold rainfall amounts in question. These large-scale features include decaying tropical cyclones, east coast low pressure systems and significant upper level troughs. However while these systems maybe well forecast on a time scale of 2 to 3 days the very heavy rainfall concentrations are dependent on finer scale (mesoscale) and convective features. Whilst there is often the ability to forecast the potential for a significant rain event to occur in the southeast Qld-northern NSW region, it is difficult (if not impossible) to predict the actual location of the heaviest rain, even with only a few hours notice.
5. Examples of high rainfall events that have occurred in the past 10 to 15 years in this region, some of which had little to no advance prediction of the "precise" location and/or magnitude of resulting rainfall, include Feb 1991, Dec 1991, Feb 1992, May 1996, Feb 1999, Mar 2001 and June 2005. Several of these events were not produced by large-scale features but by slow moving convergence zones which the current

modelling capability cannot adequately predict. The two most recent events in 2001 and 2005 were relatively short-lived events and occurred at different times of the day – 2001 in the afternoon and 2005 overnight. While one could reasonably expect that most really significant rainfall events are most likely through the warmer months, winter extreme events are by no means rare.

6. Considerable effort is being applied to derive improved deterministic and probabilistic QPFs from NWP models. In the near future, the Bureau will be providing a publicly available rainfall forecasting service via a website. The rainfall predictions will be generated automatically by combining the outlooks from a suite of Australian and international. Forecast rainfall amounts for 24 hour periods will be given for 4 days ahead, together with the chance of exceeding various amounts from 1mm to 50mm. The latter is a "pseudo" measure of probability based on the consistency in the forecast rain amounts given by up to eight NWP models used in deriving the rainfall forecast. Whilst it is not considered that this will provide a sufficiently accurate method for objective decision making for pre-releases from Wivenhoe Dam, the probabilistic rain forecasts may provide a basis for a risk management approach. There may need to be further studies on risk quantification for prediction of high to extreme rainfall events to support this approach. Given that there are large levels of uncertainty in rainfall forecasts, the forecasting of hydrological response may require an ensemble of future rain scenarios to be considered for the Wivenhoe Dam application.

7. As for a potential service provided by the Bureau an alert type product would seem to be the best alternative where the potential for an extreme rainfall event in the following 2 to 3 days across southeast Queensland was given a rating on say a 3 level scale. If that rating was high then a second phase could be activated which could provide more detailed forecast of expected rainfall amounts and location. However I emphasise that this type of service can be expected to not provide the required 2 days advice of an event on some occasions and may fail to provide anything more than a few hours notice, such is the nature of the predictability of the mesoscale components of these events.

8. Currently the Bureau provides a QPF service for the dams in Southeast Queensland. This twice-daily service predicts the average rainfall across the catchments in the following 24-hour period. We have not undertaken any verification of the service. However it is likely that verification would show reasonable skill in identifying rainfall events but quite poor skill in predicting extreme events. This service is to be reviewed in the next few months and we may commence charging for the product as it is essentially not a basic service and should not be publicly funded. We have yet to commence discussions with the client so these comments should be kept confidential. This issue is raised because any future customized product provided in support of dam operations will certainly be on a fee for service basis. There is also the issue of whether the Bureau would have the capacity to provide such a service at all and that would have to be part of any future discussions.

## Summary

9. In light of the demand for water in southeast Queensland and the highly variable nature of rainfall in the area the project has many obvious attractions. However the capability of the science to provide sufficiently reliable 24 to 48 hour advance predictions of high catchment average rainfalls is limited. The Bureau would be willing to participate in future discussions on the subject and maybe able to assist with some service that would assist.

Mike Bergin  
Manager Weather Services,  
Bureau of Meteorology, Queensland.

Peter Baddiley  
Supervising Engineer Hydrology  
Bureau of Meteorology, Queensland

24 July 2006