



From: Colin Apelt [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, 21 January 2011 4:47 PM
To: Peter Borrows
Subject: Review of Report on Jan 2011 flood event

Dear Peter

After our meeting yesterday, I spoke to the Lord Mayor and told him that you had said you were not worried about possible conflicts of interest if I were to serve on his panel to review Council's response to the recent flood. He said then that he was not at all concerned about the matter. I suggested he touch bases with you. Whether he did or not, he later told me about the proposed membership and I agreed to be a member. You probably know this because there was a press release this afternoon.

If you still want me to review the work of SEQWater in connection with the flood, I would be grateful for clarification on one of the dot points in the Draft Scope you gave me. It is the second item in the list to be covered by the review - "Analysis undertaken supporting the decision". I don't understand this one - though you may have explained it during our meeting. My questions are - what analysis? and whose decision and about what?

I realise that, if I am involved in the work for SEQWater and the BCC Review Panel, there will be some very busy times ahead. I think that will be manageable because I expect that work on Item 1 of your Draft Scope will ramp up fast and I understand that it has to be finished in six weeks while the work on the BCC Review Panel will be picking up speed during its earlier stages.

Regards
 Colin apelt

-----Safe Stamp-----
 Your Anti-virus Service scanned this email. It is safe from known viruses.
 For more information regarding this service, please contact your service provi

QFCI

Date: 8/2/12 JM

Exhibit Number: 1101