Our ref: Doc 1845879

9 February 2012

Mr Paul Hardman Holding Redlich By way of email:

Dear Mr Hardman

Robert Ayre

I refer to your letters to the Commission of 5 and 6 February 2012 in which you sought particulars of allegations against Mr Ayre in order to prepare for closing submissions and the Commission's letters to you dated 6 and 7 February 2012. Please see below an amended response to your request.

The following points should inform the preparation of your closing submissions:

- The Manual requires the engagement by a Flood Operation Engineer of a Strategy (W1, W2, W3 or W4) at all times when the dam is being operated during a flood event.
- 2. The Manual requires the application of strategy W3, at the latest, when the lake level reaches 68.5 m, a point reached by 8am on 8 January 2011.
- 3. There is evidence that strategy W3, subsequently identified in the March 2011 report as applied from 8am on 8 January 2011, was not applied during the January 2011 flood event, or at the least, not during the period from 8am on 8 January 2011 until 3.30pm on 9 January 2011.
- 4. To the extent that the materials contemporary with the Flood Event (including the situation report of 5.53pm on 8 January 2011 created by Mr Ayre) and prepared in the two weeks after it (including the 'Strategy Summary Log' sent by email by 'Rob' at 6.57pm on 15 January 2011 and the Summary of the Manual prepared by Mr Terrence Malone) do indicate that regard was had to any strategy during that period, they indicate that Strategy W3 was not engaged at 8am on 8 January 2011.
- 5. All four engineers participated in a conference at 3.30pm on 9 January 2011 in which the current strategy was described as 'operating at the top end of W1 and the bottom end of W2'.
- 6. All four engineers were sent numerous drafts of the 'January 2011 Flood Event Report' which formed part of the Ministerial briefing note for the 17 January 2011 emergency cabinet meeting and had the opportunity to make comments, edits or additions.

400 George Street Brisbane GPO Box 1738 Brisbane Queensland 4001 Australia Telephone 1300 309 634 Facsimile +61 7 3405 9750 www.floodcommission.qld.gov.au ABN 82 696 762 534

- 7. Mr Tibaldi's methodology for the creation of the March 2011 report was to reconstruct what strategies were applicable at different times during the flood event by reference to the data. Mr Tibaldi did not seek the other flood engineers' personal recollections of which strategies they thought they had been in at different times during the flood event. All four engineers were aware of, and agreed as to, the process which was adopted to prepare the March 2011 report, and knew that it was a post facto reconstruction.
- 8. All four engineers were sent drafts of the March 2011 report and had the opportunity to make comments, edits or additions. Mr Ruffini gave evidence that all four engineers had the opportunity to decline to sign the report.
- Mr Ayre engaged in a conversation with Mr Tibaldi, during the period over which
 Mr Tibaldi was writing the report, about how he might resolve a 'dilemma' he had as to
 whether the flood engineers had been operating the dam in strategy W2.
- 10. Having regard to all of the above, all four engineers must have known that the March 2011 report, to the extent that it conveyed the impression that it was an accurate record of the strategies adopted and when they were adopted, was misleading.
- 11. The Commissioner will be invited to draw the inference from the preceding that there was agreement between all four flood engineers that the March 2011 report would present an account of strategies used, which account they must have known not to be true.

This information may, of course, be amended or added to as a result of the evidence yet to be heard.

Yours sincerely

Kyla Hayden

Executive Director