# **Questionnaire for SES Local Controllers**

The following questionnaire is split into six sections. Each section contains a number of questions asking you to describe the nature of your SES unit/groups, and also the nature of response operations conducted by your SES unit/groups during the 2010/2011 floods. Please complete the questionnaire and return it to the Commission by Friday, 14 October 2011.

### 1. Structure of SES units

1.1 Please describe the structure of your unit, including the number and location of any constituent groups and the number of members in each group.

**1.2** Please indicate whether any members of your unit are employed on a paid, full-time basis. If so, how many are employed on this basis and what positions do they fill?

ALL MEMBERS ARE VOLUNTEGRS

1.3 Do you believe there is a need for SES members (including Local Controllers) to be employed on a paid, full-time basis? Please explain why or why not, including whether there are other ways in which SES members could be rewarded for their time.

1 DO NOT FAVOUR PAID SES EMPLOYMENT AS MOST OF CALL-OUTS ARE FOR A SHORT TIME.

1.4 If there is more than one Local Controller in your local government area, what effect does this have on operations?

NO EFFECT AT ALL. I SUGGEST THAT ALL UNITS HAVE A LOCAL CONTROLLER.

NOWN ALSO SURGEST THAT EACH LOCAL GOVERNMENT REGION HAVE A PARD SES CONTROLLER SUPPLIED BY EMQ. THIS POSITION COULD THAN BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL SES UNITS. WHERE SES HAVE ONLY ONE UNIT IN COUNCIL REGION SHVERE COUNCIL AREAS GOLD BE COMBINED.

## 2. Readiness for the 2010/2011 floods

We would like to know whether you feel that your unit was adequately prepared to cope with the demands of the 2010/2011 floods. Please provide a brief explanation in response to the following questions.

2.1 Did your unit have enough training to prepare it for the 2010/2011 floods?

JES.

2.2 Did your unit have enough volunteers to cope with demand?

Jos

2.3 Did your unit have enough equipment and resources?

JES- MINOR AMOUNT OF ITENTS BARROWED FROM LOUNCIL.

2.4 Overall, do you think your unit was adequately <u>prepared</u> to respond to the 2010/2011 floods?

YES

# 3. Operations during the 2010/2011 floods

- **3.1** Please describe the activities undertaken by your unit and/or its groups during the 2010/2011 floods (e.g. Requests for Assistance, rescues, evacuations).
- EVACUATTONS
- RE-SUPPLY INCL ASSIST LOAD HELICOPTER
- RESCUES FROM MOTOR VENTORS TYPE
  INCIDENTS AT FLOODED PRISERS
- REGIVE FROM FLOOD BOUND DWELLING.
- TRANSPORT VIA FLOOD BONT OF MEDICAR
- SAND BAGGING
- ASSIST EXDENTY RESIDENTS RATHING
  FURNITURE ETC.
- LIAGE WITH GINGLE ELDERLY RESIDENTS OFFERING ASSISTANCE + REASSURANCE,
- ASSIST AT EVALUATION CENTRE (GOONDIWIND) SHOWAR-UNDS)
- ASSIST QPS WANTAIN ROAD CLOSVRISS
- FLOOD BOAT ONGRATIONS
- CATTURING FOR MODILE HYSATAL, EM & MELICOSTER AREW, WAS

### 4. Command and control

4.1 Generally speaking, please describe your <u>responsibilities as Local Controller</u> during disaster response operations.

OVERALL CONTROL OF SES OPERATIONS. LIASE

DURRALL CONTROL OF SES OPERATIONS. LIASE WITH OTHER EMERGENCY SERVICES AND GUNCLE.

4.2 As a Local Controller, who do you report to during disaster response operations? EMQ AKMA SIRECTOR

4.3 Where does your SES unit receive Requests for Assistance from?

- SES CALL EBNIRE 132500
- LDMG
- OTHER RMAR Chary SURVICES

4.4 What is the process of <u>tasking SES members</u> when Requests for Assistance are received by your unit?

AT SES HR. TASHING THEN VERBALLY.

**4.5** During the 2010/2011 floods, did your unit receive any competing Requests for Assistance? If so, how were these managed or prioritised?

DURING FLOODS A NUMBER OF SIMULTANKOUS
REAUTISTS WAKE RECEIVED. THEY ARE
ALWAYS PRIORITISED WITH LIFE Not PRIORITY,

#### Command and control

**4.6** During the 2010/2011 floods, did your unit receive any Requests for Assistance that it was unable to respond to? If so, how were these requests managed?

**4.7** Were any members of your unit deployed to any other region during the 2010/2011 floods? If so, how was this managed?

DEPLOYED TO GRANMAM AFTER FLOODS TO ASSIST IN FINAL SHAROH.

- **4.8** During the 2010/2011 floods, what was the nature of your unit's <u>contact and coordination</u> (if any) with the following:
  - a) Local Disaster Coordinator

THROUGH EMQ AREA DIRECTOR WHO IS LOMG MEMBER

b) Local Disaster Coordination Centre

ATTENDED BRIEFINGS AT THE CONTRE

c) Local Disaster Management Group

Same 85 4.8(a)

### Command and control

- **4.9** During the 2010/2011 floods, what was the nature of your unit's <u>contact and coordination</u> (if any) with the following:
  - a) District Disaster Coordinator

No MNTACT

b) District Disaster Coordination Centre

No CONTACT

c) District Disaster Management Group

NO CONTACT

**4.10** During the 2010/2011 floods, what was the nature of your contact (if any) with Emergency Management Queensland's <u>Area Directors and/or Regional</u>

Directors? NIL - MOST CONTACT THROUGH TASKING STEER LDMG

**4.11** During the 2010/2011 floods, what was the nature of your interactions (if any) with other emergency service organisations?

SURPORTING ROLE TO QPS, QFRS

### Command and control

4.12 During the 2010/2011 floods, were the requirements or expectations of local disaster managers ever in conflict with those of Emergency Management Queensland? If so, how were these various demands resolved (if at all)?

No confuct

**4.13** In your view, what is the role of Emergency Management Queensland's Area and Regional Directors during disasters?

REFURENCE POINT FOR ADVICE AND TASKING. ALSO CONTACT POINT FOR PROVISION OF OUTSIDE MELP.

### 5. Communications

5.1 What type/s of communication devices were available and/or used during the 2010/2011 floods?

- MOBILE PHONE
- SES RADIO GRUIPINANT COMPUTAR/EMAIL/INTRAVET

5.2 Did any of the communication devices your unit used fail during the 2010/2011 floods? If so, please provide details.

No

5.3 Generally speaking, are any of the communication methods your unit uses integrated or inter-operable with other emergency service organisations?

V)URING FLOODS NIL. NOW HAVE INKER-OPERABLE RADIO WITH LOOML QFRS.

# 6. Funding

- 6.1 Where does your unit receive funding from?

  GOONDING NOT REGIONAL CONNEL
- **6.2** Has your unit applied for <u>additional funding from the State Government</u> in the 2009/2010 or 2010/2011 financial years? If so, what was the funding program and did you find the application process easy/difficult?

- \$ 6000 RECEIVED FOR SKILLION ROOF FOR

- APPLICATION PROCESS LONSIDERED TOO DIFFICULT AND CONNEIL ASSISTANCE SOUGHT TO COMPLETE APPLICATION

6.3 Do you have input into how the funding received by your unit is used?

**6.4** In your view, is the total amount of funding currently received by your unit adequate? If not, please describe how your unit would benefit from additional funding.

No. HOWBURN IT IS CONSIDERED SUFFICIENT IN THE LIGHT OF LOWER BUSGET CONSTRAINTS. 6.5 Do you think that the way in which funding is allocated and distributed to your unit is adequate? If not, how could this be improved?

PRASANT ARRANGEMENTS ADROUNCE.

# 6.6 Does your unit undertake any additional fundraising activities? If so:

a) what types of fundraising activities does your unit undertake?

- ASSIST LOOPL ORGANISATIONS WITH THEIR

ACTIVITIES TO OBTHIN DONATIONS

- DONATIONS FROM TOW TRUCK OPERATIONS
ASSISTING WITH RTA CREANUP

DONOTIONS FROM STAVIOR CAVBS

- V LOCAL BUSINESSES

b) approximately what percentage of your operating budget does this account for?

c) does fundraising present any difficulties?

YES.

VOLINTEMS ARE TIME POOR.

DIFFICULT TO ASK FOR FUNDS IN PRESENT ECONOMIC SITUATION.

#### 7. Other

- **7.1** Do you have any suggestions as to how the SES can attract and retain members, either for your particular unit or at a state-wide level?
- MAINTAIN INTERESTING TRAINING BEHADULE.
- PAPERWORK / RESTARE 15 ONEROUS.
- PRE REQUISITES FOR MUCH OF THE 3KG TRANNING IS CONSIDERED TO BE NOT WARRANTED AND AN OBSTACLE TO VOLUNTEERS.
- 7.2 Please make any other comments you wish about SES operations generally and/or during the 2010/2011 floods.

JEG OPHRAMONS, IN GENERAL, SURING JANUARY 2011 FLOOD CONSIDERD TO BE GOOD,